

Linguaggi Logiche e Tecnologie per la Gestione Semantica dei testi

RDFS

+

Introduction by example





- W3C standard for an ontology language
- RDFS introduces resources (URIs) with a predefined meaning
- Inference engines that support RDFS allow to take that meaning into account
- RDFS inferences extend the RDF graph by means of inference and hence, affect query answering
- RDFS is very simple compared to SWRL or OWL, however, it is very useful in many context, allowing for increased productivity, easy data integration and interesting AI applications

+ Building blocks

New namespace rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>

Commonly, Class names are nouns

- New categories:
 - Classes, resources that share something in common, allow us to group things together. For example, Employee, Company.

Resources that identify classes have rdf:type rdfs:Class

Instances, resources that are "members" of a class :emp=1



Resources can belong to multiple classes





Properties: Resources used as a predicate in statements



Commonly, Property names are multiple words, expressing direction and in camel-casing

*RDFS Ontologies

- RDFS Axioms
 - Are RDF triples!
- RDFS ontology is an RDF graph!
- An RDF graph may have a subgraph expressed in RDFS
 - We call the RDFS axioms/triples the Tbox of the ontology (terminological information, predefined meaning)
 - The rest is the Abox of the ontology (plain data, no predefined meaning)

+ Type propagation

- RDFS vocabulary: rdfs:subClassOf
- Key notions
 - **sub class** (on the left)
 - super class (on the right)
- Intuitive meaning, if :emp=1 is an instance of subclass it is also an instance of superclass
- Formal meaning: <u>subsets</u>
- Inference: type propagation



+ Type propagation

- RDFS vocabulary: rdfs:subClassOf
- Key notions
 - sub class (on the left)
 - **super class** (on the right)
- Intuitive meaning, if :emp=1 is an instance of subclass it is also an instance of superclass
- Formal meaning: <u>subsets</u>
- Inference: type propagation



*Relation propagation

- RDFS vocabulary: rdfs:subPropertyOf
- Key notions
 - sub property(on the left)
 - super property(on the right)
- Intuitive meaning, if (x,y) are connected with subproperty they are also connected with superproperty
- Formal meaning: <u>subsets</u> (of binary tuples)
- Inference: <u>relationship propagation</u>



:emp-2 :emp=1 :reportsTo

*Relation propagation

- RDFS vocabulary: rdfs:subPropertyOf
- Key notions
 - sub property(on the left)
 - super property(on the right)
- Intuitive meaning, if (x,y) are connected with subproperty they are also connected with superproperty
- Formal meaning: <u>subsets</u> (of binary tuples)
- Inference: <u>relationship propagation</u>

:worksFor





+ Types by usage

- RDFS vocabulary: rdfs:domain, rdfs:range
- Key notions
 - domain of a triple: the subject
 - range of a triple: the object



- :p rdfs:domain :C -> the domain of any triple where :p is the predicate is an instance of :C (similar for rdfs:range)
- Formal meaning: if (x,y) in P, then x in :C



 Inference: type assignment by property usage

+ Types by usage

- RDFS vocabulary: rdfs:domain, rdfs:range
- Key notions
 - domain of a triple: the subject
 - range of a triple: the object



:reportsTo

rdfs:range

:Manager

 Formal meaning: Inference: type assignment by property usage



 All inferences interact to allow complex behavior







 All inferences interact to allow complex behavior



+ Set intersection

- Proper set intersection is not possible in RDFS
- However, expressing necessary membership to multiple classes is possible, i.e., A subset B AND C

A rdfs:subClassOf B A rdfs:subClassOf C

consider x rdf:type A



+ Set intersection

- Proper set intersection is not possible in RDFS
- However, expressing necessary membership to multiple classes is possible, i.e., A subset B AND C

A rdfs:subClassOf B A rdfs:subClassOf C

consider x rdf:type A



+ Set intersection

- Proper set intersection is not possible in RDFS
- However, expressing necessary membership to multiple classes is possible, i.e., A subset B AND C

A rdfs:subClassOf B A rdfs:subClassOf C

consider x rdf:type A





Similar for roles





Similar for roles





- Proper set union is not possible in RDFS
- However, A OR B subsetOf
 C
 - B rdfs:subClassOf A C rdfs:subClassOf A
 - consider x rdf:type B

or x rdf:type C





- Proper set union is not possible in RDFS
- However, A OR B subsetOf
 C
 - B rdfs:subClassOf A C rdfs:subClassOf A

consider x rdf:type B

or x rdf:type C

















For classes or proeperties



