

# **Processing of Declarative Knowledge –Complexity Issues–**

Francesco Ricca

Computational Intelligence Curriculum  
Institute of Information Systems

# ASP Basics

## ASP:

Datalog  $\leftarrow$  done!

- + Default negation  $\leftarrow$  done!
- + Disjunction  $\leftarrow$  done!
- + Integrity Constraints  $\leftarrow$  done!
- + Weak Constraints  $\leftarrow$  done!
- + Aggregate atoms  $\leftarrow$  done!

## Complexity of Reasoning with ASP

- Complete the picture of what can be done...
- the computational cost

# ASP Basics

## ASP:

Datalog  $\leftarrow$  done!

- + Default negation  $\leftarrow$  done!
- + Disjunction  $\leftarrow$  done!
- + Integrity Constraints  $\leftarrow$  done!
- + Weak Constraints  $\leftarrow$  done!
- + Aggregate atoms  $\leftarrow$  done!

## Complexity of Reasoning with ASP

- Complete the picture of what can be done...
- the computational cost

# Complexity Issues

## Understand ASP Complexity

- know language subclasses
- understand the solving process (later)

## Consider Data-Complexity

- programs offer uniform solutions over instances
- i.e., fixed encoding + facts
- *basic language*: no aggregates, no functions

# Complexity Issues

## Understand ASP Complexity

- know language subclasses
- understand the solving process (later)

## Consider Data-Complexity

- programs offer uniform solutions over instances
- i.e., fixed encoding + facts
- *basic language*: no aggregates, no functions

# Decision Problems

## Main Decision Problems:

- 1 Answer Set Checking
  - Given an ASP program  $P$  and an interpretation  $I$ , is  $I$  an answer set of  $P$ ?
- 2 Brave Reasoning
  - Given an ASP program  $P$  and a ground atom  $a$ , is  $a$  true in *some* answer set of  $P$ ?
- 3 Cautious Reasoning
  - Given an ASP program  $P$  and a ground atom  $a$ , is  $a$  true in *all* answer sets of  $P$ ?

# Syntactic Restrictions

## Stratified Programs (i.e., no recursion through negation)

$P$  is (locally) stratified if there is a level mapping  $\|\cdot\|_s$  of  $P$  such that for every rule  $r$  of  $P$ :

- 1 For any  $l$  in the positive body of  $r$ , and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_s \leq \|l'\|_s$
- 2 For any  $l$  in the negative body, and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_s < \|l'\|_s$

**Level Mapping:** a function  $\|\cdot\|$  from ground literals to positive integers.

# Syntactic Restrictions

## Stratified Programs (i.e., no recursion through negation)

$P$  is (locally) stratified if there is a level mapping  $\|\cdot\|_s$  of  $P$  such that for every rule  $r$  of  $P$ :

- 1 For any  $l$  in the positive body of  $r$ , and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_s \leq \|l'\|_s$
- 2 For any not  $l$  in the negative body, and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_s < \|l'\|_s$

## Example (Stratified Program)

$p(a) \mid p(c) \text{ :- not } q(a).$   
 $p(b) \text{ :- not } q(b).$

**is stratified since:**

$$\|p(a)\|_s = 2, \|p(b)\|_s = 2, \|p(c)\|_s = 2$$

$$\|q(a)\|_s = 1, \|q(b)\|_s = 1$$



# Syntactic Restrictions

## Stratified Programs (i.e., no recursion through negation)

$P$  is (locally) stratified if there is a level mapping  $\|\cdot\|_s$  of  $P$  such that for every rule  $r$  of  $P$ :

- 1 For any  $l$  in the positive body of  $r$ , and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_s \leq \|l'\|_s$
- 2 For any not  $l$  in the negative body, and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_s < \|l'\|_s$

## Example (Unstratified Program)

$p(a) \mid p(c) \text{ :- not } q(b).$   
 $q(b) \text{ :- not } p(a)$

**No stratified level mapping exists!**

# Syntactic Restrictions

## Stratified Programs (i.e., no recursion through negation)

$P$  is (locally) stratified if there is a level mapping  $\|\cdot\|_s$  of  $P$  such that for every rule  $r$  of  $P$ :

- 1 For any  $l$  in the positive body of  $r$ , and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_s \leq \|l'\|_s$
- 2 For any  $l$  in the negative body, and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_s < \|l'\|_s$

## Stratification Theorems:

- Stratified non-disjunctive programs admit at most one answer set
- The answer set of a stratified and non-disjunctive program is computable in Polynomial Time.

# Syntactic Restrictions (2)

## Head-Cycle Free (HCF) Programs

$P$  is head-cycle free if there is a level mapping  $\|\cdot\|_h$  of  $P$  such that for every rule  $r$  of  $P$ :

- 1 For any  $l$  in the positive body of  $r$ , and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_h \leq \|l'\|_h$
- 2 For any pair  $l, l'$  of atoms in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_h \neq \|l'\|_h$

## Example (HCF Program)

$a \mid b.$   
 $a :- b.$

**is HCF since:**

$$\|a\|_h = 2; \quad \|b\|_h = 1$$

# Syntactic Restrictions (2)

## Head-Cycle Free (HCF) Programs

$P$  is head-cycle free if there is a level mapping  $\|\cdot\|_h$  of  $P$  such that for every rule  $r$  of  $P$ :

- 1 For any  $l$  in the positive body of  $r$ , and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_h \leq \|l'\|_h$
- 2 For any pair  $l, l'$  of atoms in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_h \neq \|l'\|_h$

## Example (Non-HCF Program)

```
a | b.
a :- b.
b :- a.
```

**Recursion trough disjunction  $\rightarrow$  Non HCF level mapping exists!**

# Syntactic Restrictions (2)

## Head-Cycle Free (HCF) Programs

$P$  is head-cycle free if there is a level mapping  $\|\cdot\|_h$  of  $P$  such that for every rule  $r$  of  $P$ :

- 1 For any  $l$  in the positive body of  $r$ , and for any  $l'$  in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_h \leq \|l'\|_h$
- 2 For any pair  $l, l'$  of atoms in the head of  $r$ ,  $\|l\|_h \neq \|l'\|_h$

## Head-Cycle Free Theorem:

- Every head-cycle free program  $P$  is equivalent to a non-disjunctive program where disjunction is “shifted” to the body
- e.g.,  $a \mid b \text{ :- } c.$  is equivalent to:  $b \text{ :- not } a, c. \quad a \text{ :- not } b, c.$

# Intuitive explanation

## Three main sources of complexity:

- the exponential number of answer set “candidates”
- the difficulty of checking whether a candidate  $M$  is an answer set of  $P$  (the minimality of  $M$  can be disproved by exponentially many subsets of  $M$ )
- the difficulty of determining the optimality of the answer set w.r.t. the violation of the weak constraints

# Complexity of Answer Set Checking

	$\{\}$	$\text{not}_s$	$\text{not}$
$\{\}$	P	P	P
$V_h$	P	P	P
$V$	coNP	coNP	coNP

# Complexity of Brave Reasoning

	$\{\}$	$\text{not}_s$	w	w, $\text{not}_s$	not	w, not
$\{\}$	P	P	P	P	NP	$\Delta^P_2$
$V_h$	NP	NP	$\Delta^P_2$	$\Delta^P_2$	NP	$\Delta^P_2$
V	$\Sigma^P_2$	$\Sigma^P_2$	$\Delta^P_3$	$\Delta^P_3$	$\Sigma^P_2$	$\Delta^P_3$

Completeness under Logspace reductions



# Complexity of Cautious Reasoning

	$\{\}$	$\text{not}_s$	$w$	$w, \text{not}_s$	$\text{not}$	$w, \text{not}$
$\{\}$	P	P	P	P	coNP	$\Delta^P_2$
$V_h$	coNP	coNP	$\Delta^P_2$	$\Delta^P_2$	coNP	$\Delta^P_2$
$V$	coNP	$\Pi^P_2$	$\Delta^P_3$	$\Delta^P_3$	$\Pi^P_2$	$\Delta^P_3$

Note that  $\langle V, \{\} \rangle$  is “only” coNP-complete!

# Exercise 2QBF

**Given a propositional formula  $\exists x \forall y \phi(x, y)$  in DNF, compute an assignment to  $y$ -variables that satisfies  $\phi$  for all assignments to  $x$ -variables, if it exists.**

Write a **disjunctive** logic program  $P(\exists x \forall y \phi(x, y))$  such that answer sets of  $P(\exists x \forall y \phi(x, y))$  correspond to satisfying assignments of  $\exists x \forall y \phi(x, y)$

# Exercise 2QBF

**Given a propositional formula  $\exists x \forall y \phi(x, y)$  in DNF, compute an assignment to  $y$ -variables that satisfies  $\phi$  for all assignments to  $x$ -variables, if it exists.**

Write a **disjunctive** logic program  $P(\exists x \forall y \phi(x, y))$  such that answer sets of  $P(\exists x \forall y \phi(x, y))$  correspond to satisfying assignments of  $\exists x \forall y \phi(x, y)$