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p-MEMS EQUATION ON A BALL∗

D. CASTORINA†, P. ESPOSITO‡, AND B. SCIUNZI§

Abstract. We investigate qualitative properties of the MEMS equation involving the p−Laplace operator, 1 < p ≤ 2, on a ball B in \( \mathbb{R}^N \), \( N \geq 2 \). We establish uniqueness results for semi-stable solutions and stability (in a strict sense) of minimal solutions. In particular, along the minimal branch we show monotonicity of the first eigenvalue for the corresponding linearized operator and radial symmetry of the first eigenfunction.
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1. Introduction and statement of the main results. Let us consider the problem

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega \\
u < 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

(1)

where \( \Delta_p (\cdot) = \text{div} \left( |\nabla (\cdot)|^{p-2} \nabla (\cdot) \right) \), \( p > 1 \), denotes the p-Laplace operator, \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \), \( N \geq 2 \), is a smooth domain.

For \( p = 2 \) equation (1) arises in the study of Micro-Electromechanical Systems (MEMS), where electronics combines with micro-size mechanical devices to design various types of microscopic components of modern sensors in various areas. Mathematical modeling of MEMS devices has been studied rigorously just recently, see [7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 19] and [10, 11, 12, 13] for the corresponding parabolic version.

We are interested here to establish some qualitative properties of semi-stable solutions of the quasilinear version (1) of the MEMS equation. In the semilinear context, this follows by comparison arguments which become highly non trivial when \( p- \)Laplace operator, \( p \neq 2 \), is involved.

Due to the singular/degenerate character of the elliptic operator \( \Delta_p \), by [6, 17, 20] the best regularity for a weak-solution \( u \) of (1) is \( u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \), for some \( \alpha \in (0,1) \). A classical solution \( u \) of (1) then will be a \( C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \)−function, \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), which satisfies the equation in a weak sense

\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2}(\nabla u, \nabla \phi) \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi}{(1-u)^2} \, dx \quad \forall \, \phi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega).
\]

Throughout the paper, a solution \( u \) of (1) is always assumed to be in a classical sense as specified here. Let us remark that for \( 1 < p < 2 \) solutions might be of class \( C^2 \)
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but the term $|\nabla u|^{p-2}$ is singular where $\nabla u$ vanishes. Therefore, also in this case, a classical solution is meant to satisfy the equation just in a weak sense.

We continue here the investigation of (1) we started in [2]. Setting

$$\lambda^* = \sup\{\lambda > 0 : (1) \text{ has a solution}\},$$

in [2] we showed that $\lambda^* < +\infty$ and for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ there is a minimal (and semi-stable) solution $u_\lambda$ (i.e. $u_\lambda$ is the smallest positive solution of (1) in a pointwise sense). Further, the family $\{u_\lambda\}$ is non-decreasing in $\lambda$ and the function

$$u^* = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda$$

is a weak solution (in a suitable sense) of (1) at $\lambda = \lambda^*$. In low dimensions the function $u^*$ satisfies $\|u^*\|_\infty < 1$ and is then a classical solution.

To make things more precise, let us recall a few definitions. For $1 < p \leq 2$ (the case we will be later concerned with) let $\rho = |\nabla u|^{p-2}$ and introduce a weighted $L^2$-norm of the gradient: $|\phi| = (\int_\Omega \rho |\nabla \phi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. According to [4, 5], define $A_u$ as the following subspace of $H^1_0(\Omega)$:

$$A_u = \{ \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega) : |\phi| < +\infty \}.$$

Since $\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi|^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_\infty^{2-p} |\phi|^2$, the space $(A_u, | \cdot |)$ is an Hilbert space. We can then give the following

**Definition 1.1.** A solution $u$ of (1) is **semi-stable** (resp. **stable**)

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \, dx + (p - 2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4}(\nabla u, \nabla \phi)^2 \, dx - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi^2}{(1-u)^3} \, dx \geq 0 \text{ (resp. } > 0)$$

for every $\phi \in A_u \setminus \{0\}$.

The space $A_u$ allows to define the pair first eigenvalue/eigenfunction in the p-Laplace context as given by the following

**Theorem 1.2.** ([2]) Let $u$ be a solution of (1). The infimum

$$\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = \inf_{\phi \in A_u \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \, dx + (p - 2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4}(\nabla u, \nabla \phi)^2 \, dx - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi^2}{(1-u)^3} \, dx}{\int_\Omega \phi^2}$$

is attained at some function $\phi_1 = \phi_{1,\lambda,u} > 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$, and any other minimizer is proportional to $\phi_1$.

By duality a linearized operator $L_u$ can be defined as an operator from $A_u$ into itself. The first eigenfunction solves $L_u(\phi_1) = \mu_{1,\lambda}(u)\phi_1$ in a weak sense:

$$L_u(\phi_1)[\psi] := \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}(\nabla \phi_1, \nabla \psi) \, dx + (p - 2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4}(\nabla u, \nabla \phi_1)(\nabla u, \nabla \psi) \, dx - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_1 \psi}{(1-u)^3} \, dx$$

$$= \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) \int_\Omega \phi_1 \psi \, dx.$$

There are the following issues which were left open in [2]:
• uniqueness of \( u_\lambda \) among the semi-stable solutions of (1);
• stability of the minimal solution \( u_\lambda \).

On the ball \( B := B(0,1) \) there is a positive answer to these questions for \( 1 < p \leq 2 \).

In this case, by [3] any solution of (1) is radial and radially decreasing. Since \( u' \leq 0 \),
the key property will be that the function \( s \rightarrow g(s) := |s|^{p-2}s \) is convex in \((-\infty,0]\)
whenever \( 1 < p \leq 2 \).

Some of our results make use of first eigenfunctions for the linearized operator. This
is a first application of theorem 1.2 which in our opinion might have other useful
consequences.

Our arguments work as well if we replace \((1-u)^{-2}\) with a general nondecreasing
and nonnegative convex nonlinearity \( f(u) \):

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_p u &= \lambda f(u) \quad \text{in } B \\
u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B.
\end{aligned}
\]

The function \( f(u) \) can be either smooth on \([0,\infty)\) or singular at \( u = 1 \). A classical
solution \( u \) of (3) is meant to be bounded in the first case and to be \( <1 \) in the second
one. Moreover, in the definition 1.1 we have to replace \( 2(1-u)^{-3} \) with \( f'(u) \).

We have the following uniqueness result

**Theorem 1.3.** Let us assume \( 1 < p \leq 2 \) and let \( u \) be a semi-stable solution of
problem (3) on \( B \). Then \( u \equiv u_\lambda \) where \( u_\lambda \) is the minimal solution.

We now investigate the properties of the first eigenvalue \( \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) \) and the corre-
sponding eigenfunction \( \phi_{1,\lambda,u} \), which is the content of the following

**Theorem 1.4.** On \( B \) \( \phi_{1,\lambda,u} \) is radial and radially decreasing with \( \phi'_{1,\lambda,u}(r) < 0 \)
for \( r \in (0,1] \). The first eigenvalue is strictly decreasing along the minimal branch:
\( \mu_\lambda := \mu_{1,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \downarrow \) as \( \lambda \uparrow \lambda^* \). In particular, \( \mu_\lambda > 0 \) for every \( 0 < \lambda < \lambda^* \) and \( u_\lambda \) is a
stable solution of (3) on \( B \).

We are able to prove a stronger uniqueness property for problem (3) when the
first eigenvalue is zero, as highlighted by this

**Theorem 1.5.** Let \( 1 < p \leq 2 \). Let \( u \) be a solution of problem (3) so that
\( \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0 \). Then, \( \lambda = \lambda^* \), \( u = u^* \) and any other solution \( v \) of (3) coincides with \( u \).

Let us stress that theorem 1.5 might be established in a more general way by the
arguments in [1, 18] based directly on the definition of \( \lambda^* \). We do not pursue this
approach since we prefer a more classical one based on comparision arguments.

In the next sections we will give the proofs of theorems 1.3 through 1.5.

**2. Proof of theorem 1.3.** Let \( u \) be a semi-stable solution of (3). By [3] we
know that \( u \) is radial, radially decreasing and have an unique critical point at the
origin with \( u'(r) \approx r^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} \) as \( r \rightarrow 0 \). In particular, \( u' < 0 \) in \((0,1)\).

Since \( u'_\lambda \) and \( u' \) behave as \( r^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} \) as \( r \rightarrow 0 \), it is easily seen that \( u, u_\lambda \in A_u \cap W^{1,p}_0(B) \).
Therefore, \( u_\lambda - u \) can be used as a test function both in the equation and in the
linearized operator at \( u \).

By taking \( u_\lambda - u \) as test function in (2) we get

\[
\int_B |\nabla u|^{p-2}(\nabla u, \nabla (u_\lambda - u)) \, dx = \lambda \int_B f(u)(u_\lambda - u) \, dx
\]

In the definition 1.1 we have to replace \( 2(1-u)^{-3} \) with \( f'(u) \).
and
\[ \int_B |\nabla u_\lambda|^p - 2(\nabla u_\lambda, \nabla (u_\lambda - u)) \, dx = \lambda \int_B f(u_\lambda)(u_\lambda - u) \, dx. \]

Taking into account radial symmetry, the difference leads to
\[ 0 = \int_B (|u'_\lambda|^{p-2}u'_\lambda - |u'|^{p-2}u')(u'_\lambda - u') \, dx - \lambda \int_B (f(u_\lambda) - f(u))(u_\lambda - u) \, dx. \]

Since \( f(u_\lambda) \geq f(u) + f'(u)(u_\lambda - u) \) by convexity, we have that
\[ 0 \geq \int_B (|u'_\lambda|^{p-2}u'_\lambda - |u'|^{p-2}u')(u'_\lambda - u') \, dx - \lambda \int_B f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx \]
in view of \( u_\lambda \leq u \) by minimality of \( u_\lambda \). Since in \((0, 1)\)
\[ -\langle r^{N-1}|u'_\lambda|^{p-2}u'_\lambda \rangle^{\prime} = \lambda r^{N-1}f(u_\lambda) \leq \lambda r^{N-1}f(u) = \langle r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u' \rangle^{\prime}, \]
for \( 0 < \varepsilon < r < 1 \) we get
\[ r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}u'(r) - \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'(\varepsilon)|^{p-2}u'(\varepsilon) \leq r^{N-1}|u'_\lambda(r)|^{p-2}u'_\lambda(r) - \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'_\lambda(\varepsilon)|^{p-2}u'_\lambda(\varepsilon) \]
and by letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) it follows
\[ |u'(r)|^{p-2}u'(r) \leq |u'_\lambda(r)|^{p-2}u'_\lambda(r) \quad \text{in} \quad (0, 1). \]

Since \( u', u'_\lambda < 0 \) in \((0, 1)\), it gives \( |u'(r)| \geq |u'_\lambda(r)| \) or equivalently \( u'(r) \leq u'_\lambda(r) \) for every \( r \in (0, 1) \).

We now take into account that the function \( g(s) = |s|^{p-2}s \) is strictly convex in \((-\infty, 0)\) for \( 1 < p < 2 \). Therefore, in \((0, 1)\) we have
\[ (|u'_\lambda|^{p-2}u'_\lambda - |u'|^{p-2}u')(u'_\lambda - u') > (p-1)|u'|^{p-2}(u'_\lambda - u') \]
whenever \( u' < u'_\lambda \). Since \( u' \leq u'_\lambda \) in \((0, 1)\), if \( u \neq u_\lambda \) in turn we get
\[ 0 > \int_B (p-1)|u'|^{p-2}(u'_\lambda - u')^2 - \lambda f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx. \]

At the same time, by the semi-stability of \( u \) we have
\[ \int_B (p-1)|u'|^{p-2}(u'_\lambda - u')^2 - \lambda f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx \geq 0 \]
and a contradiction arises unless \( u = u_\lambda \).

Consider now the case \( p = 2 \). Since now \( g(s) \) is linear, we have only \( \geq \) in \((5)\).
However, if \( \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) > 0 \) we have a strict inequality in \((6)\) and a contradiction still arises unless \( u = u_\lambda \).

We have therefore to deal with the case \( p = 2 \), \( \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0 \) and \( u \neq u_\lambda \): by the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue it follows that \( u - u_\lambda = \beta \phi_1, \beta > 0 \),
where \( \phi_1 \) is the (positive) first eigenfunction of the linearized operator \( L_u \). We define in this case
\[
G(t) = -\Delta(tu+(1-t)u_\lambda) - \lambda f(tu+(1-t)u_\lambda) = \lambda [tf(u)+(1-t)f(u_\lambda)-f(tu+(1-t)u_\lambda)].
\]
Since \( f \) is convex, then \( G(t) \geq 0 \). Since
\[
G'(t) = -\Delta(u - u_\lambda) - \lambda f'(tu + (1-t)u_\lambda)(u - u_\lambda)
\]
and \( u - u_\lambda = \beta \phi_1 \), we have that
\[
G'(1) = -\Delta(u - u_\lambda) - \lambda f'(u)(u - u_\lambda) = 0.
\]
Also, \( G''(t) = -\lambda f''(tu + (1-t)u_\lambda)(u - u_\lambda)^2 < 0 \) thanks to the convexity of \( f \). But this is not consistent with \( G(1) = 0 \), \( G'(1) = 0 \) and \( G(t) \geq 0 \). The proof is done.

3. Proof of theorem 1.4. Let us consider a hyperplane \( P \), passing through the origin. Setting for simplicity \( \phi_1 = \phi_{1,\lambda,u} \), define \( \phi_1^P(x) = \phi_1(x_P) \) where \( x_P \) is symmetric to \( x \) with respect to the hyperplane \( P \). Since \( u \) is radial, it follows that \( \phi_1^P \) still minimizes the quotient in theorem 1.2 and is then proportional to \( \phi_1 \): \( \phi_1^P = \beta \phi_1 \).

Since \( \phi_1^P \) and \( \phi_1 \) coincide on \( P \), it follows that \( \beta = 1 \) and \( \phi_1^P = \phi_1 \), that is \( \phi_1 \) is symmetric with respect to \( P \). Since \( P \) is arbitrary chosen, it follows that \( \phi_1 \) is radial.

Let us now show that \( \phi_1'(r) < 0 \) for \( r \in (0,1] \).

Note that, since \( \phi_1 \) is radial as we showed above, then it fulfills the following equation
\[
-(p-1)(r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}u_1'(r))' = r^{N-1}(\lambda f'(u(r))\phi_1(r) + \mu_1\phi_1(r))
\]
where \( \mu_1 := \mu_{1,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \geq 0 \). Since \( f' \) is positive, we therefore have that the term \( r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) \) is decreasing for \( r \in (0,1] \).

Also by (7), we get
\[
\frac{r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)}{r^{N-1}} \xrightarrow{r \to 0} c
\]
exploiting de l'Hôpital we get that
\[
\frac{r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)}{r^N} \xrightarrow{r \to 0} 0
\]
therefore
\[
\text{the term } r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) \to 0 \text{ for } r \to 0.
\]
Since as showed above \( r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) \) is decreasing for \( r \in (0,1] \), then \( r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) < \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'(\varepsilon)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(\varepsilon) \) for \( 0 < \varepsilon < r \leq 1 \). Letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we get
\[
r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) < 0
\]
for \( r \in (0,1] \), showing the thesis.

To prove monotonicity of the first eigenvalue, we start noticing that \( u_\lambda \leq u_\beta \) for \( \lambda < \beta \) yields to \( u'_\beta \leq u'_\lambda < 0 \) in \((0,1)\) with the same argument as in (4). Let us
assume that the first eigenfunctions \( \phi_{\lambda} := \phi_{1,\lambda,u_{\lambda}} \) and \( \phi_{\beta} := \phi_{1,\beta,u_{\beta}} \) are normalized to have
\[
\int_B \phi_{\lambda}^2 = \int_B \phi_{\beta}^2 = 1.
\]
Since \( u_{\lambda}, u_{\beta}, \phi_{\lambda} \) and \( \phi_{\beta} \) are radial, we now have that
\[
\mu_{\beta} \leq (p-1) \int_B |u_{\beta}'|^p (\phi_{\lambda}')^2 \, dx - \beta \int_B f'(u_{\beta}) \phi_{\lambda}^2 \, dx
\]
\[
< (p-1) \int_B |u_{\lambda}'|^p (\phi_{\lambda}')^2 - \lambda \int_B f'(u_{\lambda}) \phi_{\lambda}^2 \, dx = \mu_{\lambda}
\]
in view of \( u_{\lambda} \neq u_{\beta} \), and the thesis follows.

4. Proof of theorem 1.5. Let \( u \) be a solution of (3) so that \( \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0 \). First, we have that \( \lambda \geq \lambda^* \). Indeed, for \( \lambda < \lambda^* \) by theorem 1.3 we would have that \( u \equiv u_{\lambda} \) and then \( \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) > 0 \) by theorem 1.4. Since by the definition of \( \lambda^* \lambda \leq \lambda^* \), we get that \( \lambda = \lambda^* \). Since \( u^* \leq u \) and \( u \) is a classical solution, we get that also \( u^* \) is a classical solution and by theorem 1.3 \( u = u^* \).

Let \( v \) be another solution of (3) and let \( \phi_1 \) be the first eigenfunction of \( L_u \). Define
\[
\hat{G}(t) := \int_B |tv' + (1-t)u'|^p - 2(tv' + (1-t)u') \phi_1' \, dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u) \phi_1 \, dx.
\]
By the radial symmetry of \( u, v, \phi_1 \) and the convexity of \( g(s) = |s|^{p-2}s \) in \((-\infty, 0)\) for \( 1 < p \leq 2 \), we get that
\[
\hat{G}(t) = \int_B g(tv' + (1-t)u') \phi_1' \, dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u) \phi_1 \, dx
\]
\[
\geq t \int_B g(v) \phi_1' \, dx + (1-t) \int_B g(u) \phi_1' \, dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u) \phi_1 \, dx
\]
\[
= \lambda \int_B [tf(v) + (1-t)f(u) - f(tv + (1-t)u)] \phi_1 \, dx \geq 0
\]
in view of \( \phi_1' \leq 0 \) by theorem 1.4. Let us now note that \( \hat{G}(0) = 0 \) by the equation satisfied by \( u \). Compute now the first derivative
\[
\hat{G}'(t) = (p-1) \int_B |tv' + (1-t)u'|^{p-2}(v' - u') \phi_1' \, dx - \lambda f'(tv + (1-t)u)(v - u) \phi_1 \, dx.
\]
Since \( L_u(\phi_1) = \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) \phi_1 = 0 \) and \( v - u \in A_u \), we get that \( \hat{G}'(0) = 0 \). By \( \hat{G}(0) = \hat{G}'(0) = 0 \) \( 0 \), it follows \( \hat{G}''(0) \geq 0 \). But
\[
\hat{G}''(0) = (p-1)(p-2) \int_B |u'|^{p-4} u' \phi_1' \phi_1' - \lambda f''(u)(v - u)^2 \phi_1 \, dx
\]
\[
\leq -\lambda \int_B f''(u)(v - u)^2 \phi_1 \, dx
\]
in view of \( u', \phi_1' \leq 0 \) and \( 1 < p \leq 2 \). Since \( f'' > 0 \), \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \phi_1 > 0 \) a.e. in \( B \) it follows that \( \hat{G}''(0) < 0 \) unless \( u = v \). Therefore the thesis follows. \( \Box \)
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